Abstract—Hardware implementation of brain-inspired algorithms such as reservoir computing, neural population coding and deep learning (DL) networks is useful for edge computing devices. The need for hardware implementation of neural network algorithms arises from the high resource utilization in form of processing and power requirements, making them difficult to integrate with edge devices. In this paper, we propose a non-spiking four quadrant current mode neuron model that has a generalized design to be used for population coding, echo-state networks (uses reservoir network), and DL networks. The model is implemented in analog domain with transistors in sub-threshold region for low power consumption and simulated using 180nm technology. The proposed neuron model is configurable and versatile in terms of non-linearity, which empowers the design of a system with different neurons having different activation functions. The neuron model is more robust in case of population coding and echo-state networks (ESNs) as we use random device mismatches to our advantage. The proposed model is current input and current output, hence, easily cascaded together to implement deep layers. The system was tested using the classic XOR gate classification problem, exercising 10 hidden neurons with population coding architecture. Further, derived activation functions of the proposed neuron model have been used to build a dynamical system, input controlled oscillator, using ESNs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Neural network algorithms are useful for tasks such as audio-visual classification [1]-[3] and learning dynamic control [4]. Hardware implementation of such learning algorithms can improve performance in the field of robotics and edge devices. Sub-threshold analog design of such systems leads to efficient power and area characteristics compared to digital design making them suitable for larger architectures and power-crunch areas like edge devices. Neural population coding is inspired from various cortical regions [5]-[10]. By considering the response from an ensemble of neurons [11], classification and regression tasks can be performed. In echo-state networks (ESNs), a reservoir of neurons is used to process temporal data [12]. Moreover, architectures like population coding and ESNs uses random and fixed weights in initial layers, which reduces the amount of memory required to store these weights [13]-[15], hence, making them more hardware friendly. Several deep learning architectures have also evolved over a period of time [16], [17] and different variations of these have been proposed to make them more reliable and efficient [18]–[22]. To cater to these evolving architectures, we propose a hardware model of the neuron, which can be generalized and adapted to variations in architectures. Various works on neuron models [23]–[27] exist. Also, there are existing works which utilize random device matches for random and fixed weights in population coding [13], [14]. Our design is a four quadrant current mode, which can be cascaded together for deep learning architectures. The activation function of the proposed neuron model approximates the ‘tanh’ curve, and can be controlled. This imparts flexibility to our proposed model which helps the architecture to learn better, especially in the case of population coding and ESNs, where randomness arising from only device mismatches may not be enough.

II. CURRENT MODE NEURON MODEL

Figure 1(a) shows the design of a two input neuron sub-system model. This sub-system is divided into three parts: weighted input block (analogous to dendrites in biological neuron), summation block (analogous to soma in biological

![Figure 1. Schematic of proposed two input neuron model. (a) Four quadrant current mode design of two input neuron sub-system model. W/L (M1-11) = (0.42um)/(0.18um). (b) Current Direction Selector block – based on input sign voltage (V_SIGN), input current (I_IN) is sourced or sank at output (I_OUT). W/L (M1-4, M6-7) = (0.42um)/(0.18um), W/L (M5) = (0.84um)/(0.18um).]
neuron) and neuronal non-linearity block (analogous to synapse in biological neuron). The input to the sub-system includes neuron inputs (I1, V1SIGN, I2, V2SIGN), and parameters: bias (I_BIAS, V_BIAS_SIGN), scale voltages (V_SCALE1; V_SCALE2) and threshold current (I_TH). The outputs of the sub-system are current, I_OUT, and voltage, V_SIGN_OUT, which represent the magnitude and sign of the output, respectively.

A. Weighted Input Block

Each input of the neuron whether bias or neural inputs, is given through the ‘Current Direction Selector’ (CDS) block as shown in Figure 1. Each input has one current input and one voltage input, which represent the magnitude and sign of the input, respectively. The input current direction is sink into the neuron sub-system model. In Figure 1(a), I1 and I2 currents correspond to the magnitudes of two given inputs, and V1SIGN and V2SIGN voltages correspond to the signs of the two given inputs. I_BIAS and V_BIAS_SIGN correspond to the magnitude and sign of bias, respectively, for the neuron model. Each of these inputs is fed into the respective CDS block. Figure 1(b) shows the schematic of the CDS block, where the direction of input current, I_IN, is set to source or sink based on the input sign voltage, V_SIGN. Current mirrors using transistors M1-M5 are used to replicate I_IN. Transistor M6 and M7 are used to set the direction of output current. If voltage V_SIGN is high, the direction of output current (I_OUT) is sink w.r.t. to the CDS block, and if voltage V_SIGN is low, the direction of output current (I_OUT) is source w.r.t. to the CDS block. The body terminal of M6 is connected to VDD, to increase the threshold voltage of M6 and hence compels M6 to remain in the sub-threshold region. Due to device mismatches, the magnitudes of I_IN and I_OUT will be different, and this will be useful in population coding and ESNs as these require random and fixed scaling of inputs.

B. Summation Block

The output currents from multiple CDS blocks (whether source or sink) are added at node X, as shown in Figure 1(a). The net current after summation at node X can be either source or sink. If the net current is source, the parasitic capacitance at X will get charged to VDD and transistor M1 will be in cut-off region. Hence, net source current will flow through M5 and M6. Further, voltage V_SCALE_OUT will become low or zero. If net current is sink, then first parasitic capacitance at X will discharge and transistor M1 will turn on. This will enable current to flow from supply through M1-M3. Diode connected M2 and M5 provides high output impedance to provide additional voltage drop to keep transistors M3 and M6 in the sub-threshold region. When net current is source, transistors M6-M9 are used to replicate and switch direction of current. In contrast, when net current is sink transistors M3 and M4 are used to replicate the net current. Transistors M10 and M11 are used as switches to select either sink or source current based on the voltage developed at point X. Multiple cascaded inverters N1, N2 and N3 are used to improve rise-time, fall-time and fan-out. Net source current of transistors M10 and M11 is passed to the current scale block.

C. Neuronal Non-Linearity Block

In neuronal non-linearity block as shown in Figure 1(a), the current scale block and minimum (min) current block are cascaded together. A schematic of the current scale block is shown in Figure 2(a). Here, current mirror (using M3 and M4) is used to replicate input current, I_IN, as bias current, I_BIAS, for the differential pair M1 and M2. I1 and I2 are currents in the respective branches of M1 and M2. M5 and M6 are active loads. M6 and M7 are used to replicate current I2 as output current I_OUT. Differential voltages V_SCALE1 and V_SCALE2 are used to control the scaling factor for input current I_IN. In our design, V_SCALE2 is fixed to a particular voltage and, V_SCALE1 is varied for different neuron sub-systems. The current-voltage transfer characteristic of the differential pair is described as follows [28]:

\[
I_1 = I_B \frac{\exp(V_{SCALE1})}{\eta U_T} + \frac{\exp(V_{SCALE2})}{\eta U_T}
\]

\[
I_2 = I_B \frac{\exp(V_{SCALE2})}{\eta U_T} + \frac{\exp(V_{SCALE1})}{\eta U_T}
\]

Here, U_T is the thermal voltage and in the weak-inversion region, the slope factor \( \eta \) of the transistors ranges from 1.1 to 1.5 [29]. Hence, the current I_OUT is proportional to input current I_IN and the proportionality constant (or scaling factor) can be varied by varying V_SCALE1. Current I_OUT of the current scale block is connected to I_IN of the min current block, as shown in Figure 2(b). If the current I_IN exceeds the threshold current I_TH, the output current I_OUT of the block will get fixed to I_TH [30]. To remove the offset current at output due to mismatches at M3 and M6, transistors M4 and
M7 are added for cascode current mirror. The output current of this block is the magnitude of the neuron output.

D. Complete System Design

Figure 3(a) represents the system design of single neuron from input to output in population coding architecture, using the proposed neuron model. Figure 3(b) represents the population coding architecture. An XOR gate is used to calculate the output sign of multiplication of two numbers. V1_SIGN and V2_SIGN are sign voltages for the corresponding two inputs. V1 WT_SIGN and V2 WT_SIGN are sign voltages for weights of the connection between input and neuron. XOR gates X1 and X2 are used to calculate the net sign of the weighted input. Three parameters control the non-linearity of the neuron: bias, threshold current of min current block, and scaling factor of current scale block. The scaling factor can be varied by varying voltage, V SCALE1. Providing different voltages [15] to different neuron blocks is much easier than providing different currents. Hence, to control the magnitude of bias current and threshold current, M1 and M2 transistors are used. Voltage, V COMPENSATE is used to further decrease the offset current of min current block output (in our design it is less than 1nA) using M3 and is same for all neurons and controlled externally. Current mirrors using M4-M7 are used to replicate the output current of neuron model as sink current input to current splitter (CS) block [31], [32]. The output of CS block is either passed to I POS or I NEG branch using M8 and M9 as switches. This current selection is done by considering the sign voltage (V SIGN) of neuron output and the sign of weight for the corresponding connection to output node using XOR, X3 and inverter, N1. Each output node is represented by two current outputs, I POS and I NEG. For multiple outputs, CS blocks corresponding to each output node will be present for every hidden neuron output. Further, inputs to these CS blocks will be provided by extending the current mirror M6 and M7. Moreover, I POS and I NEG branches of the respective output nodes are connected together. Voltages V BIAS, V BIAS_SIGN, V SCALE1, and V TH are varied externally for all neurons, using resistive polyline as potentiometer approach stated in existing work, [13]-[15].

III. RESULTS

For designed two input neuron model, I2 is made zero and I1 is swapped from -75nA to 75nA. Additionally, parameters like V BIAS, V BIAS_SIGN, V SCALE1, and V TH are varied in 882 combinations. For such combinations, the output is observed at I POS and I NEG using V SIGN. During measurements, all weights of the CS block are set to high. WtSIGN is set low, so that the output of X3 is the same as V SIGN. The net output current is plotted in Figure 4. It can be inferred that slope, and vertical and horizontal shifts of activation function can be controlled by varying the above parameters.

We tested our model with full system design for classic XOR classification using population coding architecture
with 10 hidden neurons, two input nodes and one output node. The activation functions of all hidden neurons are configured using above mentioned parameters. Optimized weights are calculated offline, and these weights are then quantized into 8-bit levels for the CS block. We used two different sets of synthetic data, based on margin between the two adjacent classes, as shown in Figure 5(a) and (b). Misclassified points are encircled in red. Currents $I_{1IN}$ and $I_{2IN}$ are the input currents of each hidden neuron.

Further, we implemented ESN architecture for an input-controlled oscillator with $y_1$ and $y_2$ as state variables and $\mu$ as the user input to control the frequency of oscillations as mentioned in the following equation:

$$\frac{dy_1}{dt} = \mu y_2, \quad \frac{dy_2}{dt} = -\mu y_1$$

(3)

The reservoir contains 1000 neurons with 20% sparse connectivity. The activation functions of these neurons are selected from set 100 different activation functions, shown in Figure 4. The architecture of ESN is shown in Figure 6(a). State variables $y_1$ and $y_2$ are the system output and input node corresponds to user input, $\mu$. While training, the golden output (or desired output) is fed back with unit delay to the reservoir. The network is trained for 3200 seconds. Read-out weights are optimized using batch gradient decent. Optimized weights are quantized into 12-bits. In testing phase the system output, instead of the golden output, is fed back to the reservoir with unit delay. Figure 6(b) shows the input control frequency oscillator during the testing phase.

The layout design of the proposed two input neuron model using 180nm technology node is shown in Figure 7.

IV. CONCLUSION

The proposed model generates configurable and versatile activation functions. This is achieved by use of minimum current block, current scale block and bias input, compared to standard differential pair for implementing hyperbolic tangent as activation function. By providing different parameters $V_{BIAS}$, $V_{BIAS\_SIGN}$, $V_{SCALE}$, and $V_{TH}$ for different neurons, these neurons become more versatile in terms of non-linearity in activation function. Compared to existing works, [13]-[15], proposed model is current input and current output, giving it advantage to be extended to ESN or cascade into multiple layers for DL architectures. In case of DL architectures or in current splitter circuitry where random device mismatches need to be minimum, techniques like using longer channel lengths or cascode current mirror can be used. Moreover, the proposed neuron sub-system model can easily adapt to multiple architectures and variations in architectures, as well. As proof of concept for multiple inputs, we have demonstrated the system using a two input model. Specifications for the two input neuron sub-system model are tabulated in Table I. For ESNs, the output current at time $(t-1)^{th}$ instant needs to be stored for the time $t^{th}$ instant. This can be achieved with current to voltage converter and sample and hold (S&H) block. Here, the voltage corresponding to current at $(t-1)^{th}$ instant can be stored using the S&H block, and corresponding proportion of current can be generated at time $t^{th}$ instant. Because feedback weights in ESNs are random and fixed, the proportionality factor can be used to our advantage. Hence, our proposed neuron model can be extended to implement population coding, ESNs, and DL networks.

TABLE I. PROPOSED NEURON (2-INPUT) SUB-SYSTEM MODEL SPECIFICATIONS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tech. Node</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programmable</td>
<td>180nm</td>
<td>Analog (Sub-threshold)</td>
<td>390 um²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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